# Teaching and Usurping Authority

1 Timothy 2:11-15

Linda L. Belleville

he battle over women leaders in the church continues to rage unabated in evangelical circles. At the center of the tempest sits (I Timothy 2:II-IS.) Despite a broad spectrum of biblical and extrabiblical texts that highlight female leaders, I Timothy 2:III-IS continues to be perceived and treated as the Great Divide in the debate. Indeed, a hierarchical interpretation of this passage has become for some a litmus test for the label exangelical and even a necessity for the salvation of unbelievers.

The complexities of I Timothy 2:II-I5 are many. There is barely a word or phrase that has not been keenly scrutinized. The focus here will be on the key interpretive issues (context, translation, the Greek infinitive authentein, grammar, cultural backdrop) and some common concerns regarding what this text says about men and women in positions of leadership and authority. This analysis will make use of a wide array of tools and databases now available with the advent of computer technology that can shed light on what all concede to be the truly abstruse, head-scratching aspects of the passage.

### .Context

In getting a handle on I Timothy 2:12, we must be clear about where the verse sits in the letter as a whole. Paul begins by instructing his stand-in, Timothy, to stay

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A case in point is Andreas Köstenberger's rationale for *Women in the Church: A Fresh Analysis of 1 Timothy* 2.9-15, ed. Andreas Köstenberger, Thomas Schreiner and H. Scott Baldwin (Grand Rapids, Mich.: Baker, 1995), pp. 11-12. He argues that a hierarchical view of men and women is necessary for "a world estranged from God" to "believe that God was in Christ reconciling the world to himself."

Teaching and Usurping Authority

put in Ephesus so that he can command certain persons "not to teach false doctrines any longer" (I:3). That false teaching is Paul's overriding concern can be seen from the fact that he bypasses normal letter-writing conventions (such as a thanksgiving section and closing greetings) and gets right down to business (cf. Galatians). It is also obvious from the roughly 50 percent of the letter's contents that Paul devotes to the topic of false teaching.

find a concern for character, family life and commitment to sound teaching (I Tim and constant friction (I Tim 6:4-5). Some, Paul says, have in fact wandered from the rest take note (I Tim 5:20). There are malicious talk, malevolent suspicions Tim 1:20). Some elders need to be publicly rebuked due to continuing sin, while 3:1-13). This is perfectly understandable against a background of false teaching is also little interest in the professional qualifications of church leaders. Instead we ample, we learn very little about what various leaders do, and what we do learn, we mary matter.<sup>3</sup> Paul's posture throughout is corrective rather than didactic. For exthe faith (I Tim 5:15; 6:20-21). Then there are the explicit statements. Two church leaders have been expelled (I (I Tim 5:21-22) and what to do with those who stumble (I Tim 5:19-20). There learn incidentally. Yet there is quite a bit about how not to choose church leaders roles and an absence of offices steer us away from viewing church order as the prithat determines the overriding concern.2 Also, a lack of details about leadership conduct themselves in God's household" (I Tim 3:15). It is critical mass, however, "church order." To be sure, Paul does remind Timothy of "how people ought to Some believe that false teaching is a minor concern compared with that of

Were women specifically involved? Women receive algreat deal of attention in I Timothy. Indeed there is no other New Testament letter in which they figure so prominently. Behavior befitting women in worship (I Tim 2:10-15), qualifications for women deacons (I Tim 3:II), appropriate pastoral behavior toward older and younger women (I Tim 5:2), support of widows in service of the church (I Tim 5:9-10), correction of younger widows (I Tim 5:II-I5) and familial responsibil-

Paul speaks of widows (I Tim 5:3-8, 16) are all concerns of Paul. Moreover, Paul speaks of widows who were going from house to house speaking things they ought not (I Tim 5:13). That something more than nosiness or gossiping is involved is clear from Paul's evaluation that "some have in fact already turned away to follow Satan" (I Tim 5:15).

Some are quick to point out that there are no explicit examples of female false teachers in I Timothy, and they are correct. No women (teachers or otherwise) are specifically named. Yet this overlooks the standard principles that come into play when we are interpreting the genre of "letter." The occasional nature of Paul's letters always demands reconstruction of one sort or another, and this from only half of the conversation. The cumulative picture, then, becomes that which meets the burden of proof. All told, Paul's attention to false teaching and women occupies about 60 percent of the letter. It would therefore be foolish—not to mention misleading—to neglect considering I Timothy 2 against this backdrop. "They [the false teachers] forbid people to marry" (I Tim 4:3) alone goes a long way toward explaining Paul's otherwise obscure comment "Women will be saved [or 'kept safe'] through childbearing" (I Tim 2:15), as well as his command in I Timothy 5:14 that younger widows marry and raise a family (which is different from his teaching elsewhere, e.g., I Cor 7:8–9, 39–40).

\*

your sincere and pure devotion to Christ" (2 Cor II:3). deceived by the serpent's cunning, your minds may somehow be led astray from given to the Corinthian congregation. "I am afraid," Paul says, "that just as Eve was in particular, calls to mind the activities of the false teachers. A similar warning was ample of deception and transgression (I Tim 2:13-14). The language of deception peaceful (not quarrelsome) fashion (I Tim 2:II; see below) and to avoid Eve's ex commanded to show sound judgment (I Tim 2:9, 15, sophrosynes), to learn in a to lift up hands that are "without anger or disputing" (I Tim 2:8). The women are may lead peaceful and quiet lives" (I Tim 2:2). The men of the church are enjoined in the space of fifteen verses. Prayers for governing authorities are urged "that we of I Timothy 2. A command for peace (instead of disputing) is found four times verses later does the same (I Tim 2:8). Congregational contention is the keynote chapter 2 with the false teaching of the previous chapter and its divisive influence opening "I exhort, therefore" (I Tim 2:1 NASB, parakalo oun) ties what follows in (I Tim I:3-7, I8-20). The subsequent "therefore I want" (NASB, boulomai oun) eight The grammar and language of I Timothy 2 also dictate such a backdrop. The

In Corinth the false teaching involved preaching a Jesus, Spirit and gospel dif-

For further discussion, see Gordon D. Fee, 1 and 2 Timothy, Tinus, NIBC (Peabody, Mass.: Hendrickson, 1988), pp. 20-23.

Qualifications for leaders are outlined in I Timothy 3:1-13 and 5:9-10, but there is no instruction as to who they are or what roles they fill.

<sup>\*</sup>Since the tense and mood are present indicative, Paul is dealing with a present reality not a hypothetical possibility. Thus TNIV reads: "But those who are sinning you are to reprove before everyone" (cf. NRSV, "As for those who persist in sin, rebuke them in the presence of all"), replacing the NIV's "Those who sin are to be rebuked publicly so that the others may take warning."

ferent from what Paul had preached (2 Cor II:4-5). What was it in Ephesus? One pointer is Paul's command that women learn "quietly" (I Tim 2:II) and behave "quietly" (I Tim 2:I2 Phillips, NEB, REB, NLT). Some translations render the Greek phrase en hēsychia as "in silence," and Paul is understood to be setting forth public protocols for women. In public, women are to learn "in silence" and be "silent" (KJV, NKJV, RSV, NSRV, CEV, NIV, JB; cf. "keep quiet" TEV; "remain [or be] quiet" BBE, NAB, NJB, TNIV). But does this make sense? Silence is not compatible with the Socratic dialogical approach to learning in Paul's day. Also, Paul does not use the Greek term hēsychion this way nine verses earlier: "I urge... that petitions, prayers, intercession and thanksgiving be made... for kings and all in authority, so that we may lead peaceful and quiet [hēsychion] lives in all godliness and holi-

ness" (I Tim 2:I-2).

Yet all too often it is assumed that Paul is commanding women not to speak or teach in a congregational setting as a sign of "full submission" to their husbands. On what grounds, though? "A woman should learn ..." does not suggest anything of the sort (I Tim 2:II). In a learning context, it is logical to think in terms of submission either to teachers or to oneself (cf. "the spirits of prophets are subject to the control of prophets," I Cor I4:32). Submission to a teacher well suits a learning context, but so does self-control A calm, submissive spirit was a necessary prerequisite for learning back then (as now).

Some translations seek a way out by narrowing "women" and "men" to "wives" and "husbands" (e.g., Knox, Young, Williams). Lexically this is certainly possible. Gynē can mean either "woman" or "wife," and anēr can mean "man" or "husband" (see BDAG s.v.): "I permit no wife to teach or to have authority over her husband." Yet context determines usage, and "husband" and "wife" do not fit. "I want the men everywhere to pray" (I Tim 2:8) and "I also want women ..." (I Tim 2:9-I0) simply cannot be limited to husbands and wives. Nor can the verses that follow be read in this way. Paul does refer to Adam and Eve in I Tim 2:13-I4; but it is to Adam and Eve as the prototypical male and female, not as

a married couple ("formed first," "deceived and became a sinner")

Paul's commands for peaceable and submissive behavior suggest that women were disrupting worship. The men were too. They were praying in an angry and contentious way (I Tim 2:8). Since Paul targets women who teach men (I Tim 2:12) and uses the example of Adam and Eve as a corrective it would be a fair assumption that a bit of a battle of the sexes was being waged in the congregation.

# Lranslatio

Without a doubt, the most difficult clause to unpack is didaskein de gynaiki ouk epitrepō oude authentein andros—although the average person in the pew wouldn't know it. English translations stemming from the 1940s to the early 1980s tend to gloss over the difficulties. A hierarchical, noninclusive understanding of leadership is partly to blame. Women aren't supposed to be leaders, so the language of leadership ship, where women are involved, tends to be manipulated. One of the primary places where this sort of bias surfaces is I Timothy 2:12. Post-World War II translations routinely render the clause as "I do not permit a woman to teach or to have or exercise] authority over a man" (e.g., RSV, NRSV, NAB, NABr, TEV, NASB/U, JB/NJB, NKJV, NCV, God's Word, NLT, Holman Christian Standard, ESV, TNIV)—although some, such as the BBE, qualify it with "in my [Paul's] opinion."

Earlier translations were not so quick to do so. This was largely owing to dependence on ancient Greek lexicographers and grammarians. In fact, there is a virtually unbroken tradition, stemming from the oldest versions and running down to the twenty-first century, that translates *authentein* as "to dominate" rather than "to exercise authority over":

¥

- Old Latin (2nd-4th cent. A.D.): "I permit not a woman to teach, neither to dominate a man [neque dominari viro]."
- Vulgate (4th-5th): "I permit not a woman to teach, neither to domineer over a man [neque dominari in virum]."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>See chapter nine in this volume

Nor does Paul use the term hēsychia to mean "silence" elsewhere. When he has absence of speech in mind, he uses sigaō (Rom 16:25; I Cor 14:28, 30, 34). When he has "calmness" in view, he uses hēsychia and its cognate forms (I Thess 4:II; 2 Thess 3:I2; I Tim 2:2). This is also the case for the other New Testament authors. See sigaō in Luke 9:36; 18:39; 20:26; Acts 12:I7; 15:I2-I3; and sigē in Acts 21:40 and Revelation 8:I. For hēsychia (and related forms) meaning "calm" or "restful," see Luke 23:56; Acts II:18; 21:14; I Thessalonians 4:II; 2 Thessalonians 3:I2; I Peter 3:4. For the sense "not speak," see Luke 14:4 and, perhaps, Acts 22:2.

There are two notable exceptions. (I) Martin Luther (1522): "Einem Weibe aber gestatte ich nicht, dass sie lehre, auch nicht, dass sie des Mannes Herr sei." Luther, in turn, influenced William Tyndale (1525-1526): "I suffre not a woman to teache nether to have auctoritie over a man." (2) Rheims (1582): "But to teach I permit not vnto a woman, nor to have dominion ouer the man." Rheims, in turn, influenced the ASV ("nor to have dominion over a man.") and subsequent revisions of Casiodoro de Reina's Santa Biblia. See, for example, the I602 Valera revision: "ni ejercer dominio sobre" ("neither to exercise dominion over").

Greek Bible. In the

- Geneva (1560 edition): "I permit not a woman to teache, nether to yurpe authoritie ouer the man.
- Casiodoro de Reina (1569): "I do not permit the woman to teach, neither to sobre el hombre.8 take [tomar] authority over the man." No permito á la mujer enseñar, ni tomar autoridad
- over the man." Bishops (1589): "I suffer not a woman to teach, neither to usurpe authoritie
- $\star$  KJV (1611): "I suffer not a woman to teach nor usurp authority over a man." A wide range of modern translations follow the same tradition
- L. Segond (1910): "I do not permit the woman to teach, neither to take [prendre] authority over the man." Je ne permets pas à la femme d'enseigner, ni de prendre autorité sur l'homme.
- Goodspeed (1923): "I do not allow women to teach or to domineer over men."
- La Sainte (1938): "I do not permit the woman to teach, neither to take [prenl'autorité sur l'homme. dre] authority over the man." Je ne permets pas: à la femme d'enseigner, ni de prendre de
- NEB (1961): "I do not permit a woman to be a teacher, nor must woman domineer over man
- JBCerf (1973): "I do not permit the woman to teach, neither to lay down the law for the man." Je ne permets pas à la femme d'enseigner ni de faire la loi à l'homme.
- REB (1989): "I do not permit women to teach or dictate to the men."
- New Translation (1990): "I do not permit a woman to teach or dominate
- CEV (1991): "They should...not be allowed to teach or to tell men what to do."
- The Message (1993): "I don't let women take over and tell the men what to do."

There are good reasons for translating authentein this way. It cannot be stressed

tament. Its cognates are found merely twice elsewhere in the enough that in authentein Paul picked a term that occurs only here in the New Tes-Wisdom of Solomon I2:6 it is the noun authentes (murderer) used with reference

Teaching and Usurping Authority

to indigenous peoples' practice of child sacrifice:

Those [the Canaanites] who lived long ago in your holy land, you hated for their detestable practices, their works of sorcery and unholy rites ... these parents who murder [authentas] helpless lives. (NRSV)

symbol in honor of the deity Dionysus. 10 ing to their original status as Egyptian slaves and to be branded with the ivy-leaf author recounts the hostile measures taken by the Ptolemies against Alexandrian Jews'toward the end of the third century B.C., including the need to register accord-In 3 Maccabees 2:28-29 it is the noun authentia ("original," "authentic"). The

poll tax and to the status of slaves. . . . Those who are registered are to be branded  $[prosynestalmenen]^{12}$ on their bodies by fire with the ivy-leaf symbol of Dionysus and to register All Jews [in Alexandria] shall be subjected to a registration  $[laographian]^{11}$  involving [katachōrisai] in accordance with their [Egyptian] origin [authentian] of record

ularly suited to the Ephesian situation thentein carried a nuance (other than "rule" or "have authority") that was partictries. 13 Yet Paul picked none of these. Why not? The obvious reason is that auan ordinary exercise of authority, he could have picked any number of words. Within the semantic domain of "exercise authority," biblical lexicographers J. P. tion such as "to have [or exercise] authority over." If Paul had wanted to speak of Louw and Eugene Nida have twelve entries and of "rule," "govern" forty-seven en-These two uses in the Greek Bible should give us pause in opting for a transla-

<sup>\*</sup>Compare this with "exercise authority" (ejerza autoridad—La Biblia de las Américas 1986) and "exercise dominion" (ejercer dominio—Reina-Valera 1960, 1995).

<sup>&</sup>quot;Technically, vir in Latin and Weibe in German (like gynē in Greek) can mean either "woman" or "wife." Consequently, some translations opt for "wife." See, for example, Charles B. Williams's 1937 translation: "I do not permit a married woman to practice teaching or domineering over a husband."

<sup>&</sup>quot;Branding in honor of a deity was a common practice in antiquity. See Bruce Metzger and Roland Murphy, eds., The New Oxford Annotated Apostypha (New York: Oxford University Press, 1991), p. 289

<sup>&</sup>quot;Laographia (registration) is a rare word found in the Greek papyri from Egypt with reference to the registration of people of the lower classes and slaves. See ibid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>R. H. Charles's "they shall also be registered according to their former restricted status" does not fit vols. [London: Oxford University Press, 1913]). the lexical range of possibilities for authentia (The Apocrypha and the Pseudephyrapha of the Old Testament, 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Johannes P. Louw and Eugene A. Nida, Gruk-English Lexicon of the New Testument Based on Semantic Domains, 2 vols., 2nd ed. (New York: United Bible Societies, 1988-1989), 37.35-47, 37.48-95. Authentein is noticeably absent from both of these domains.

second centuries B.C., the Greek tragedies used it exclusively of murdering oneself authentes is someone who originates or carries out an action. During the sixth to part, agree that the root of authentes is auto + entes, meaning "to do or to originate in all instances it too is used of a "murderer" or "slayer." 16 something with one's own hand" (LSJ autoentes). Usage confirms this. An did the same. The word is rare in the historians and epic writers of the time, but (suicide) or another person(s). The rhetoricians and orators during this period Nouns: Greek literary materials. So what is the nuance? Lexicographers, for the most

gardless of geographical location, ethnicity or religious orientation. For instance, the Jewish historian Josephus speaks of the author (authenten) of a poisonous draught (Jewish War I.582; 2.240). Diodorus of Sicily uses it of (I) the sponsors "author" and "mastermind" of a crime or act of violence. This is the case retas) of a crime (Bibliotheta historita 17.5.4.5). By the first century A.D., lexicographers (authentais) of a sacrilege (Bibliotheca historica 16.61) and (3) the mastermind (authen-(authentas) of some daring plans (Bibliotheca historica 35.25.1), (2) the perpetrators During the Hellenistic period the primary meaning of authentes was still "murbut the semantic range widened to include "perpetrator," "s "sponsor," "spons

tual murderer himself or herself).22 defined authentes as the perpetrator of a murder committed by others (not the ac-

those who masterminded and carried out such exploits as the massacre of the sense of the "mastermind" of a crime rather than one who exercises authority over over" and the NIV's "have authority over"? "Master" can be found, but it is in the another. For example, in the first and second centuries B.C. historians used it of Thracians at Maronea<sup>23</sup> and the robbing of the sacred shrine at Delphi.<sup>24</sup> Was there a meaning that approached anything like the ESV's "exercise authority

ature from the sixth century B.C. on, it first appears in nonliterary materials in the duces quite different results. While authent- appears quite regularly in Greek liter traca, tablets and inscriptions of the Packard Humanities Institute [PHI]) prokos can be found in Greek inscriptions and papyri of the Hellenistic period. 26 lish word authentic) and not authentes (murderer). Numerous examples of authenti first century B.C.<sup>25</sup> The popular form is *authentikos* (from which we derive our Eng-Greek nonliterary materials. A search of the nonliterary databases (Duke papyri, os-

importance for shedding light on the verbal noun authentein in I Timothy 2:12. and nonliterary materials. There are a mere handful in the TLG (Thesaurus Linguae Graecae) and PHI (Packard Humanities Institute) databases. But these are of critical [infinitive] and verbal adjective [participle]) are rare to nonexistent in Greek literary Verbs. Verb forms contemporary with or prior to Paul (including the verbal nour

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Aeschylus (2x) Agamemnon 1573, Eunenides 212; Euripides (8x) Fragmenta 20.645, Andromacha 39.172, 614, Hertules 43.839, 43.47post11312, Troades 44.660, Iphigenia aulidensis 51.1190, Rhesus 52.873. othy 2:12 Revisited: A Reply to Paul W. Barnett and Timothy J. Harris," EvQ 65 (1993): 43-55. and Further Reference to AY8ENTEO in I Timothy 2.12," NIS 34 (1988): 120-34, and "I Tim-For a detailed study of the nominal forms of authentein, see Leland Wilshire, "The TLG Computer

age of the term [authemes] meaning 'to exercise authority" and mistakenly faults Catherine Clark not original. Thus Carroll Osburn erroneously cites this text as "establishing a fifth century BC useuthyntes ("when people pilot the land"), instead of authentes. David Kovacs (Euripides: Suppliant Wonen, Supplients [Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1971], p. 534) emends the text to read Kroeger for not dealing with it ("AYOENTEN" [I Timothy 2:12]—Word Study," RestQ, 1982, p. Elutra, Henules [Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1998], p. 57) deletes lines 442-55 as There is a disputed reading of authentes in Euripides' Supplimit Women 442. Arthur Way (Euripides

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>Antiphon (6x) Temologies 23.4.6, 23.11.4, 24.4.3, 24.9.7, 24.10.1, On the Murder of Herod 11.6; Lysias (Ix) Orations 36.348.I3

 $<sup>^{16}\</sup>mathrm{Thucydides}\,(\mathrm{Ix})$  History of the Peloponnesian War 3.58.5.4; Hexodotus  $(\mathrm{Ix})$  Historia 1.117.12; Apollonius (2x) Argonautica 2.754, 4.479.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>Appian (5x) Mithridate Wars 90.1, Civil Wars 1.7.61.7, 1.13.115.17, 3.2.16.13, 4.17.134.40; Philo (Ix) Quod Deterius Potiori Insidiari Soleat 78.7.

<sup>18</sup> Josephus (Ix) Jewish Wars I.582.1; Diodorus (Ix) Bibliothea historia I.16.61.1.3.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Posidonius (Ix) Fragmenta 165.7 (= Diodorus Bibliotheca historica 3.3435.25.1.4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup>E.g., Diodorus Bibliotheca historica 17.5.4.S. 20 Cf. Josephus (Ix) Jewish Wars 2.240.4; Diodorus (Ix) Bibliothea historia 17.5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup>See, for example, Harpocration *Lexion* 66.7 (1st cent. A.D.): "Authentes: Those who commit murder whose hand committed the deed." [tous phonous] through others. For the perpetrator [ho authentes] always makes evident the one

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup>Polybius Historiaus 22.14.2.3 (2nd cent. B.C.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup>Diodorus of Sicily Bibliotheta historita 17.5.4.5 (1st cent. B.C.). In the patristic writers the nour century A.D. (Hippolytus Short Exegetical and Homiletical Writings 29.7.5). are uses of the adjective ("authentic," "genuine"). The verb does not occur until well into the third century-far too late to provide a linguistic context for Paul. Predominant usage is still "murderer" authentes does not appear until the mid to late second century A.D. and then in Origen in the third Apostolic Fathers, 2nd ed. [Grand Rapids, Mich.: Baker, 1992], p. 331). The rest—the vast majorityter" (Shepherd of Hermas Ix; for the second-century dating of the Shepherd 5.82, see Michael Holmes (Clement 3x), but one also finds divine "authority" (Irenaeus 3x; Clement 2x; Origen Ix) and "mas-

The root authent- appears six times in first-century A.D. inscriptions, ostraca and tablets: (1) autikos ("genuine," "authentic"; 22x) 6) and (3) authentes (Tituli Asiae Minoris V 23; Ephsos 109). It surfaces in the first-century B.C. papyr thenteia/authentia ("power," "sway," "mastery"; Scythia I[2]5); Mylasa I0), (2) authentikos (Mylasa 2 only once (see above). It picks up steam in the first century A.D., but virtually all are the term authen

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup>See, for example, Oxyrhynchus Papyrus 2.260.20 (A.D. 59): "I, Theon, son of Onophrios, assistant have checked this authentic [authentikei] bond."

The first is found in the fifth to first centuries B.C. Scholia (or explanatory remarks) on a passage from Aeschylus's tragedy Eumenides: "His [Orestes'] hands were dripping with blood; he held a sword just drawn [from avenging the death of his father by killing his mother]" (42). The commentator uses the perfect participial form of authenteō to capture the intentional character of the deed: "Were dripping" is explained as "The murderer [ho phoneutēs], who just now has committed an att of violence [authentēkota]..."

The second use of authenteō is found in the first-century B.C. grammarian Aristonicus. Commenting on a portion of Homer's Iliad ("So he [Odysseus] spoke and they [King Agamemnon and his people] all became hushed in silence, marveling at his words; for so masterfully did he address their gathering"), he states, "This line, which appears in other places, does not fit well here; for it usually is spoken, where the author [ho authentēn] of the message delivered something striking. But now, however, he [the author] would speak for Odysseus, who relates the things which had been spoken by Achilles."<sup>27</sup>

stand with him." This certainly fits what we know of the Asklepiades archive. As likely means something like "I had my way with him" or perhaps "I took a firm ence to," "on" and "on account of") are the range of possible meanings. Here it "To/toward," "against" and "with" (and less frequently "at," "for," "with refer-"over him." The preposition plus the accusative does not bear this sense in Greek. details of the text—payment of a boat fare. Nor can pros auton be understood as pros auton as "I exercised authority over him." 28 Yet this hardly fits the mundane thentein on George Knight III's 1984 study and his translation of authentekotos Evangelical scholarship has been largely dependent for its understanding of auprovide Calatytis the boatman with the full fare within the hour" (BGU IV 1208). of cattle: "And I had my way with him [authentekotos pros auton] and he agreed to another individual regarding the amount to be paid a ferryman for shipping a load John White notes, this part of the archive (BGU  $\,\mathrm{IV}\,1203-9)$  is a series of seven counts to his brother Asklepiades the resolution of a dispute between himself and letters written between family members—three brothers, Asklepiades, Paniskos The third use of authenteo is found in a 27/26 B.C. letter in which Tryphon re-

and Tryphon, and one sister, Isidora. Although various business matters are discussed in the correspondence, it is evident that these are private letters, written for the most part by Isidora, who is representing her family's interests abroad.<sup>31</sup>

The fourth use of authenteö occurs in Philodemus, the first-century B.C. Greek poet and Epicurean philosopher from Gadara, Syria. Philòdemus wrote against the rhetoricians of his day and their penetration into Epicurean circles. Rhetors were the villains; philosophers were the heroes of the Roman Republic. He states, "Rhetors harm a great number of people in many ways—'those shot through with dreadful desires.' They [rhetors] fight every chance they get with prominent people—'with powerful lords [syn authent[ou]sin anaxin].'... Philosophers, on the other hand, gain the favor of public figures... not having them as enemies but friends... on account of their endearing qualities" (Rhetoria 2 Fragmenta Libri [5] fr. 4 line 14).

Once again Knight's analysis falls short. He states that "the key term is authent[ou]sin" and claims that the rendition offered by Yale classicist Harry Hubbel is "they [orators] are men who incur the enmity of those in authority." But Hubbel actually renders authent[ou]sin rightly as an adjective meaning "powerful" and modifying the noun lords: "they [rhetors] fight with powerful lords [diamachonta kai syn authentousin anaxin]."

The fifth use of authenteō is found in influential late-first- and early-second-century astrological poet Dorotheus. He states that "if Jupiter aspects the Moon from trine...it makes them [the natives] leaders or chiefs [some of civilians and others of soldiers] especially if the Moon is increasing; but if the moon decreases, it does not make them dominant [authentas] but subservient [hyperetoumenous]" (346). Along similar lines, second-century mathematician Ptolemy states: "Therefore, if Saturn alone takes planetary control [tēn oikodespotian] of the soul and dominates [authentesas] Mercury and the moon [who govern the soul [and] if Saturn has a dignified position toward both the solar system and its angles [ta ken-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup>Aristonicus *De signis Iliadis* 9.694 (Ist cent. B.C.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup>George Knight III, "AY8ENTEN in Reference to Women in I Timothy 2.I2," NIS 30 (1984): 145.
<sup>29</sup>See LSJ, 1497 C. with the accusative.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup>See Friedrich Preisigke, Wörterbuh der griehischen Papyrusurkunden (Berlin; Papyrusurkunden Berlin, 1925), s.v. fest auftreten (to stand firm).

John White, Light from Ancient Letters (Philadelphia: Fortress, 1986), p. 103.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup>Knight, "A YBENTEΩ," p. 145. Knight also overlooks the fact that syn authent[ou]sin anaxin is actually a quote from an unknown source, not Philodemus's own words. Fallacies have the tendency to perpetuate themselves. See, for example, H. Scott Baldwin, who cites Knight's inaccurracy (instead of checking the primary sources firsthand), "Appendix 2: Authenteō in Ancient Greek Literature," in Women in the Church: A Fresh Analysis of 1 Timothy 2:9-15, ed. Andreas Köstenberger, Thomas Schreiner and H. Scott Baldwin (Grand Rapids, Mich.: Baker, 1995), p. 275).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup>Harry Hubbell, trans. and commentary, "The Rhetorica of Philodemus," Comunicut Academy of Arts and Sciences 23 (1920): 306.

tra], then he [Saturn] makes [them] lovers of the body ... dictatorial, ready to punish.
... But Saturn allied with Jupiter ... makes his subjects good, respectful to elders, sedate, noble-minded ... (Tetrabibles 3.13 [no. 157]). Although Dorotheus and Ptolemy postdate Paul, they provide an important witness to the continuing use of authenteō to mean "to hold sway over, to dominate."

Ancient Greek grammarians and lexicographers suggest that the meaning "to dominate, hold sway" finds its origin in first-century popular ("vulgar" versus literary) usage. That is why second-century lexicographer Moeris states that the Attic autodiken, "to have independent jurisdiction, self-determination," is to be preferred to the Hellenistic (or Koine) authentēs. Modern lexicographers agree. Those who have studied Hellenistic letters argue that authenteō originated in the popular Greek vocabulary as a synonym for "to dominate someone" (kratein tinos). Biblical lexicographers J. P. Louw and Eugene Nida put authenteō into the semantic domain "to control, restrain, domineer" and define the verb as "to control in a domineering manner": "I do not allow women . . . to dominate men" (I Tim 2:12). Other meanings do not appear until well into the third and fourth centuries A.D. 38

So there is no first-century warrant for translating authentein as "to exercise authority" and for understanding Paul in I Timothy 2:12 to be speaking of the carrying out of one's official duties. Rather the sense is the Koine "to dominate, to get one's way." The NIV'S "to have authority over" therefore must be under-

stood in the sense of holding sway or mastery over another. This is supported by the grammar of the verse. If Paul had a routine exercise of authority in view, he would have put it first, followed by teaching as a specific example. Instead he starts with teaching, followed by authentein as a specific example. Given this word order, authentein meaning "to dominate" or "gain the upper hand" provides the best fit in the context.

### Gramma

So how did "to exercise authority over" find its way into the majority of modern translations of I Timothy 2:12? Andreas Köstenberger claims that it is the correlative that forces translators in this direction. He argues that the Greek correlative pairs synonyms or parallel words and not antonyms. Since "to teach" is positive, *authentein* must also be positive. To demonstrate his point, Köstenberger analyzes "neither" + verb I + "nor" + verb 2 constructions in biblical and extrabiblical literature.<sup>39</sup>

Yet there is a grammatical flaw intrinsic to this approach. It is limited to formally equivalent constructions, excluding functionally equivalent ones, and so the investigation includes only correlated verbs. Thus it overlooks the fact that the infinitives ("to teach," authentein) are functioning grammatically not as verbs but as nouns in the sentence structure (as one would expect a verbal noun to do). The Greek infinitive may have tense and voice like a verb, but it functions predominantly as a noun or adjective. The verb in I Timothy 2:12 is actually "I permit." "Neither to teach nor authentein" modifies the noun "a woman," which makes the authentein clause the second of two direct objects. Use of the infinitive as a direct object after a verb that already has a direct object has been amply demonstrated by biblical and extrabiblical grammarians. In such cases the infinitive re-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup>Knight misreads (or perhaps misrypes) F. E. Robbins's (transl., LCL) "angles" as "angels" ("ΑΥθΕΝΤΕΩ," p. 145.) Baldwin once again quotes Knight's inaccuracy rather than doing a fresh analysis as the book's title claims ("Appendix 2: Authenteö," p. 275).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup>Moeris, Attic Lection, ed. J. Pierson (Leiden, 1759), p. 58. Cf. thirteenth- to fourteenth-century Atticist Thomas Magister, who warns his pupils to use autodikein because authentein is vulgar (Grammar 18.8).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup>See, for example, Theodor Nageli, Der Wörtschatz des Apostels Paulus (Göttingen, Germany: Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht, 1905), pp. 49-50; cf. James Hope Moulton and George Milligan, The Vicabulary of the Greek Testament (London: Hodder and Stoughton, 1930), s.v., and the Perseus Project, Greek-English Lexicon, s.v. "to have full power over tinos." <a href="https://www.perseus.tufts.edu">https://www.perseus.tufts.edu</a>

Thouw and Nida also note that "to control in a domineering manner" is often expressed idiomatically as "to shout orders at," "to act like a chief toward" or "to bark at." The use of the verb in I Timothy 2:12 comes quite naturally out of the word "master, autocrat" (Greek-English Lexicon, p. 91); cf. BDAG, which defines authenteō as "to assume a stance of independent authority, give orders to, dictate to."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup>The noun *authentes* used of an "owner" or "master" appears a bit earlier. See, for example, the second-century *Shapherd of Hermus* 9.5.6, "Let us go to the tower, for the *owner* of the tower is coming to inspect it."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Andreas Köstenberger, "A Complex Sentence Structure in I Timothy 2:12," in Women in the Church A Fresh Analysis of 1 Timothy 2:9-15, ed. Andreas Köstenberger, Thomas Schreiner and H. Scott Baldwin (Grand Rapids, Mich.: Baker, 1995), pp. 81-103.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup>See, for example, Nigel Turner, *Syntax*, vol. 3 of *Grammar of New Testament Greek*, ed. Nigel Turner (Edinburgh: T & T Clark, 1963), p. 134, who classifies infinitives as "noun forms."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>See, for instance, James A. Brooks and Carlton L. Winbery, Syntax of New Itstament Greek (Lanham, Md.: University Press of America, 1979), especially "The Infinitive as a Modifier of Substantives," pp. 141-42. Köstenberger overlooks the role of the infinitive as a verbal noun ("Complex Sentence Structure," pp. 81-103).

<sup>\*</sup>E.g., Edwin Mayser (Grammatik der Griachischen Papyri aus der Psolemaer-Zeit [Berlin/Leipzig: Walterr Gruyter, 1926, 1970], 2:187). BDF §392), Ernest Dewitt Burton (Syntax of the Moods and Tenses in New Testament Greek [Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1900], nos. 378, 387), Turner (Syntax, pp. 137-38). Of particular relevance is Nigel Turner's observation in his volume on Greek syntax.

219

stricts the already present object. Following this paradigm, the I Timothy 2:12 correlative neither to teach nor authentein functions as a noun that restricts the direct object "a woman" (gynaiki).

It behooves us, therefore, to correlate nouns and noun substitutes in addition to verbs. This greatly expands the possibilities. "Neither-nor" constructions in the New Testament are then found to pair synonyms (e.g., "neither despised nor scorned," Gal 4:14), closely related ideas (e.g., "neither of the night nor of the dark," I Thess 5:5) and antonyms (e.g., "neither Jew nor Greek, neither slave nor free," Gal 3:28). They also function to move from the general to the particular (e.g., "wisdom neither of this age nor of the rulers of this age," I Cor 2:6), to define a natural progression of related ideas (e.g., "they neither sow, nor reap, nor gather into barns," Mt 6:26), and to define a related purpose or a goal (e.g., "where thieves neither break in nor steal" [i.e., break in to steal], Mt 6:20). <sup>43</sup>

Of the options listed above, it is clear that "teach" and "dominate" are not synonyms, closely related ideas or antonyms. If *authentein* did mean "to exercise authority," we might have a movement from general to particular. But we would expect the word order to be the reverse of what we have in I Timothy 2:I2, that

that the infinitive as a direct object with weba putand (e.g., "permit," "allow" and "want") is peculiar to Luke, Paul and Hebrews in the New Testament. In such cases, he argues, the infinitive restricts the already present object.

Daniel Wallace (Grek Grammar Beyond the Basics [Grand Rapids, Mich.: Zondervan, 1996], pp. 182-89) identifies authentein as a verb complement ("I do not permit to teach...") instead of the direct object complement that it is (ibid., pp. 598-99). It is not that Paul does not permit to teach a woman, but that he does not permit a woman to teach. Cf. Romans 3:28; 6:11; 14:14; I Corinthians 11:23; 12:23; 2 Corinthians II:5; Philippians 3:8.

<sup>43</sup>Here are other examples. (1) Synonyms: "neither labors nor spins" (Mt 6:28), "neither quarreled nor cried out" (Mt 12:19), "neither abandoned nor given up" (Acts 2:27), "neither leave nor forsake" (Heb I3:5), "neither run in vaim nor labor in vaim" (Phil 2:16). (2) Closely related ideas: "neither the desire nor the effort" (Rom 9:16), "neither the sun nor the moon" (Rev 2I:23). (3) Antonyms: "neither a good tree... nor a bad tree" (Mt 7:18), "neither the one who did harm nor the one who was harmed" (2 Cor 7:12). (4) General to particular: "you know neither the day nor the hour" (Mt 25:13). "I neither consulted with flesh and blood nor went up to Jerusalem" (Gal 1:16-17). (5) A natural progression of closely related ideas: "born neither of blood, nor of the human will, nor of the will of man" (Jn I:13), "neither the Christ, nor Elijah, nor the Prophet" (Jn I:25), "neither from man nor through man" (Gal I:1). (6) Goal or purpose: "neither hears nor undersrands" (i.e., hearing with the intent to understand; Mt I3:13). "neither dwells in temples made with human hands nor is served by human hands" (i.e., dwells with a view to being served; Acts I7:24). See Linda L. Belleville, Women Leaders and the Charch (Grand Rapids, Mich.: Baker, 2000), pp. 176-77.

is, "neither to exercise authority [general] nor to teach [particular]." They do not form a natural progression of related ideas either ("first teach, then dominate"). On the other hand, to define a purpose or goal actually provides a good fit: "I do not permit a woman to teach so as to gain mastery over a man," or "I do not permit a woman to teach with a view to dominating a man." It also fits the contrast with the second part of the verse: "I do not permit a woman to teach a man in a dominating way but to have a quiet demeanor [literally, to be in calmness']."

### ulture

Why were the Ephesian women doing this? One explanation is that they were influenced by the cult of Artemis, in which the female was exalted and considered superior to the male. Its importance to the citizens of Ephesus in Paul's day is evident from Luke's record of the two-hour long chant, "Great is Artemis of the Ephesians" (Acts 19:28-37). It was believed that Artemis (and brother Apollo) was the child of Zeus and Leto (or Latin Latona). Instead of seeking fellowship among her own kind, she spurned the attentions of the male gods and sought instead the company of a human male consort. This made Artemis and all her female adherents superior to men. This was played out at the feast of the Lord of Streets, when the priestess of Artemis pursued a man, pretending she was Artemis herself pursuing Leimon.<sup>45</sup>

An Artemis influence would help explain Paul's correctives in I Timothy 2:I3-I4. While some may have believed that Artemis appeared first and then her male consort, the true story was just the opposite. For Adam was formed first, then Eve (I Tim 2:I3). And Eve was deceived to boot (I Tim 2:I4)—hardly a basis on which to claim superiority. It would also shed light on Paul's statement that Christian "women will be kept safe [or 'saved'] through childbirth" (I Tim 2:I5 NIV [1973 and 1978 editions]), presumably by faith in Christ. Thus they need not look to Artemis as the protector of women, as did other Ephesian women who turned

<sup>&</sup>quot;Cf. Philip Payne ("Authentein in I Timothy 2:12," Evangelical Theological Society Seminar Paper (Rehoboam Baptist Church, Atlanta, Georgia, November 21, 1986). His own position is that "neither-nor" in this verse forms a closely associated couplet (like "hit 'n' run": "teach 'n' domineer").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup>Pausanias Guide to Greece 2.27.4; 8.53.3. For further details, see Sharon Gritz, Paul, Women Teachers and the Mother Goddess at Ephesus: A Study of 1 Timothy 2:9-15 in Light of the Religious and Cultural Milleu of the First Century (Lanham, Md.: University Press of America, 1991), pp. 31-41, and "Artemis," in The Encyclopaedia Britannica, Netscape Navigator, Netscape Communications, 1997.

to her for safe travel through the childbearing process.<sup>46</sup>

sia and elsewhere. scriptions dating from the first century until the mid-third century place is honored in a decree of the mid-first century. 48 There were others as well. Inof the imperial cult in Magnesia, a city fifteen miles southeast of Ephesus. She church.<sup>47</sup> Although Baugh is correct in saying that urban Ephesus lacked a high women as high priestesses in Ephesus, Cyzicus, Thyatira, Aphrodisias, Magnepriestess during Paul's day, he overlooks the fact that suburban Ephesus did first-century Ephesian high priestess runs counter to an Artemis impact on the rons has been challenged by S. M. Baugh, who contends that the lack of any While Paul was planting the Ephesian church, Iuliane served as high priestess The impact of the cults on the female population of Ephesus and its envi-

esses were responsible for the sanctuary's maintenance, its rituals and ceremonies, her husband served as a high priest of the imperial cult, Iuliane held her position of those that do, prestige was attached to being a relative of a high priestess and not or wealthy male patron. This simply is not true. Many inscriptions naming a and the protection of its treasures and gifts. Liturgical functions included ritual long before her husband held his. Nor was her position nominal. Priests and priestvice versa. Iuliane's position, for example, was hardly honorary. While it is true that woman as high priestess do not name a husband, father or male patron. In the case their own right. They were simply riding on the coattails of a husband, male relative Baugh also argues that female high priestesses of Asia did not serve in and of

sacrifice, pronouncing the invocation and presiding at the festivals of the deity. 51

Teaching and Usurping Authority

nominal position of no real substance, given to daughters and wives of the munisition was analogous to the private priestesses of Hellenistic queens. Theirs was a cipal elite. 52 This too runs counter to Greco-Roman evidenge. The majority of fifty years old, came from all social classes and served a male god and his adherents. the exception. Delphic priestesses, on the other hand, were required to be at least women who served as high priestesses were hardly young girls. <sup>53</sup> Vestal virgins were Baugh further maintains that Asian high priestesses were young girls whose po-

example, served at one time or another during Paul's tenure as magistrate, priestess were inseparable, to lead in one arena was often to lead in the other. Mendora, for the Greco-Roman Empire as a whole. Because Roman religion and government rately reflect the religious and civic roles of first-century women in either Asia or and chief financial officer of Sillyon, a town in Pisidia, Asia. <sup>54</sup> The primary flaw of Baugh's study is that it is not broad based enough to accu-

a position of authority (Rom I2:7; I Cor I2:28; I4:26; Eph 4:II). to determine. The exact wording of Paul's restriction needs careful scrutiny. What Testament period was an activity and not an office (Mt 28:19-20), a gift and not means a teaching office or other position of authority. But teaching in the New kind of teaching is Paul prohibiting at this point? Some are quick to assume he  $\dots$ "? There are several aspects of I Timothy 2:12 that make the plain sense difficult What about the prohibition in I.Timothy 2:12: "I do not permit a woman to teach

turn passed on to their disciples (2 Tim 2:2). Teaching is subject to evaluation just 21), the trust (I Tim 6:20) that Jesus passed on to his disciples and that they in deep truths of the faith" (I Tim 3:9; 4:6), "the faith" (I Tim 4:1; 5:8; 6:10, 12, the person who teaches). In point of fact, it resides in the deposit of truth—"the Christ" (I Tim 6:3). Tim 5:20) anyone who departed from "the sound instruction of our Lord Jesus like any other ministry. This is why Paul instructed Timothy to publicly rebuke ( m IThere is also the assumption that authority resides in the act of teaching (or in

<sup>46</sup> As the mother goddess, Artemis was the source of life, the one who nourished all creatures and the sought her aid, and women in labor turned to her for help. See "Artemis," Encyclopaedia Britannica. power of fertility in nature. Maidens turned to her as the protector of their virginity, barren women

moires I8 (Paris: E. de Boccard 1969). Mineure, Travaux et mémoires 9 (Paris: E. de Boccard 1955); idem, Lois sacrées des cités graques. Supplément mis" and other literary sources support the fusion. See Franciszek Sokolowski, Lois suries de l'Asia 28-33). But fourth-century B.C. "Rituals for Brides and Pregnant Women in the Worship of Arte-Köstenberger, Thomas Schreiner and H. Scott Baldwin [Grand Rapids, Mich.: Baker, 1995], pp. Ephesus in the First Century," in Women in the Church: A Fresh Analysis of 1 Timothy 2:9-15, ed. Andreas the mother goddess of Asia Minor and the Greek virgin goddess of the hunt ("A Foreign World Travaux et mémoires II (Paris: E. de Boccard 1962); idem, Lois sacrées des cités graques, Travaux et mé S. M. Baugh takes issue with the premise that Artemis worship was a fusion of a fertility cult of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup>See Baugh, "Foreign World," pp. 43-44.

<sup>49</sup> See R. A. Kearsley, "Asiarchs, Archiereis and the Archiereiai of Asia," Greek, Roman and Byzantine Studies

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup>Baugh, "Foreign World," pp. 43-44

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup>Kearsley, "Asiarchs," pp. 183-92

Saugh, "Foreign World," p. 43.

See Riet van Bremen, "Women and Wealth," in *Images of Women in Antiquity*, ed. Averil Cameron and Amélie Kuhrt (Detroit: Wayne State University Press, 1987), pp. 231-41.

<sup>54</sup> Inscriptiones Graecae ad res Romanas pertinentes 3.800-902.

Teaching and Usurping Authority

hygiainousē didaskalia as "sound doctrine" instead of "sound teaching" (I Tim respect (I Tim 6:1-2). The flaw therefore lies in translating the Greek phrase te 4:11; 6:2), the "things" are not strictly doctrines. They included matters like avoid trines, no. While Paul urged Timothy to "command and teach these things" (I Tim as a system of thought (i.e., dogma) is foreign to I Timothy. Traditions, yes; docof doctrine and that teaching doctrine is something women can't do. Yet doctrine I:10; 4:6; cf. I Tim 6:1, 3; 2 Tim 4:3; Tit I:9; 2:1). God as the Savior of all (I Tim 4:9-10) and slaves treating their masters with full ing godless myths and old wives' tales (I Tim 4:7), godly training (I Tim 4:7-8), It is often countered that teaching in I Timothy takes on the more official sense

Eve" (I Tim 2:13). Yet if one looks closely at the immediate context, "first-then" ing something thereby about male leadership? "For Adam was formed first, then Paul's letters (and the New Testament, for that matter). "First-then" defines a temthen [eita]...let them serve" (I Tim 3:10). This, in fact, is the case throughout Jas 3:17) vantage over the living as a result of being raised "first" (cf. Mk 4:28; I Cor I5:46; up together with them in the clouds to meet the Lord in the air" is a case in point" poral sequence, without implying either ontological or functional priority. "The ideas. Ten verses later Paul states that deacons "must first  $[prar{o}ton]$  be tested; and (I Thess 4:16-17). "The dead in Christ" gain neither personal nor functional addead in Christ will rise first. After that we who are still alive and are left will be caught (prōtos . . . eita) language does nothing more than define a sequence of events or What about Paul's naming Adam as first in the creation process? Isn't Paul say-

2:24) and not his boss. By contrast, effect ("women are not permitted to teach men sons. First, there is nothing in the context to support it. Paul simply does not idencreation order of male, then female); Eve's proneness to deception while taking the tum? Women must not teach men because God created men to lead (following the dicating that leadership, Second, the conjunction gar ("for") typically introduces tify Eve's transgression as taking the lead in the relationship or Adam's fault as ablead demonstrates this. This reading of the text is problematic for a number of rea-2:13 would provide the explanation: that Eve was created as Adam's partner (Gen women are not permitted to teach men in a domineering fashion, then I Timothy an explanation for what precedes, not a cause. If the sense of I Timothy 2:12 is that But doesn't gar at the start of I Tunothy 2:13 introduce a creation order dic-

in a domineering fashion") and then cause ("Adam was created to be Eve's boss" in I Timothy 2:13-14 stop short of including "women will be saved (or kept safe) through childbearing" in I Timothy 2:15. To do so, though, lacks hermeneutical [i.e., first]) surely makes no sense. Third, those who argue for creation-fall dictums integrity. Fither all three statements are normative or all three are not.

of God, namely, not to eat the fruit from the tree of the knowledge of good and lead in the male-female relationship. She was deceived into disobeying a command without scriptural support. Eve was not deceived by the serpent into taking the deceived by the serpent's cunning, your minds may somehow be led astray from ing to the Corinthian congregation confirms this: "I am afraid that just as Eye was evil. She listened to the voice of false teaching and was deceived by it. Paul's warn-Adam was not the one deceived; it was the woman . . ." (I Tim 2:14). This view is of what can go wrong when women usurp the male's created leadership role? "And your sincere and pure devotion to Christ" (2 Cor II:3). What about Eve's seniority in transgression? Isn't Paul using Eve as an example

sume the role of teacher over men, this would go a long way toward explaining I Ephesus. If the Ephesian women were being encouraged as the superior sex to asation order (Gen 3:I6) male domination and female subordination. Such thinking is native to a fallen crefemale domination and male subordination. But neither was it intended to involve Timothy 2:13–14. The relationship between the sexes was not intended to involve The language of deception calls to mind the activities of the false teachers at

# Summary

an advantage over the men in the congregation by teaching in a dictatorial fashion. women at Ephesus (perhaps encouraged by the false teachers) were trying to gain A reasonable reconstruction of I Timothy 2:11-15 would be as follows: The the men in response became angry and disputed what the women were doing.

aims to correct mappropriate behavior on the part of both men and women (I Tim then be prohibiting teaching that tries to get the upper hand—not teaching per se the intent to dominate a man. She must be gentle in her demeanor." Paul would 2:8, 11). It also fits the grammatical flow of I Timothy 2:11-12: "Let a woman earn in a quiet and submissive fashion. I do not, however, permit her to teach with This interpretation fits the broader context of I Timothy 2:8-15, where Paul

<sup>55</sup> The principal Greek causal conjunction is hoti (or dioti). See BDF 456